STATE OF ILLINOIS SECRETARY OF STATE SECURITIES DEPARTMENT

IN THE MATTER OF. QI CHEN A/K/A ALEX QI CHEN A/K/A ALEX CHEN (CRD# 2233415), ALEXANDER FINANCIAL SERVICES, INC., ATM INVESTORS INC., and ALL IN 1 INSURANCE AGENCY.

FILE NO. 0400128

TEMPORARY ORDER OF PROHIBITION

TO THE RESPONDENTS: Or Chen

1224 Georgetown Way Vernon Hills, IL 60061-4122

Alexander Financial Services, Inc 870 East Higgins Road, STE 138-E Schaumburg, Illinois 60173.

ATM Investors, Inc. 870 East Higgins Road, STE 138-E Schaumburg, Illinois 60173

All In 1 Insurance Agency 4242 North Arlington Heights Rd Arlington Heights, Illinois 60004

On information and belief, I, Jesse White, Secretary of State of Illinois, through my designated representative, who has been fully advised in the premises by the staff of the Securities Department, Office of the Secretary of State, herein find

Respondent Qi Chen a/k/a "Alex" Chen a/k/a Alex Qi Chen ("Respondent") is a resident of Illinois, with a last known address of 1224 Georgetown Way, Vernon Hills, Illinois 60061-4122 From 1992 until the present, Respondent has been licensed to sell mutual funds and variable annuities in the State of Illinois (Respondent is also currently licensed to sell insurance).

- 2. Respondent Alexander Financial Services. Inc ("Alexander") was incorporated in Illinois by Respondent on December 4, 1995, and involuntarily dissolved on May 1, 1999. Alexander has a last known address of 870 East Higgins Road, STE 138-E, Schaumburg, Illinois 60173.
- 3. Respondent ATM Investors Inc ("ATM") was incorporated in Illinois by Respondent on October 18, 1996, and was involuntarily dissolved on March 1, 2000. ATM has a last known address of 870 East Higgins Road, STE 138-E, Schaumburg, Illinois 60173.
- 4. Respondent All In 1 Insurance Agency ("All In 1") was incorporated in Illinois by Respondent on April 4, 2004, and was involuntarily dissolved on September 1, 2005. All In 1 has a last known address of 4242 North Arlington Heights Rd, Arlington Heights, Illinois 60004.
- 5 Between 1996 and 2005 Respondent offered and sold investments in Alexander, ATM and All In 1, in violation of the Illinois Securities Law of 1953, 815 ILCS 5/1 et seg (the "Act"), as follows:

Count I Respondents' Fraudulent Investment Scheme in All In 1

- 1-5 The Department re-alleges and incorporates Paragraphs 1 through 5 as paragraphs 1 through 5 of this Count I
 - 6 Between 2003 and 2005, by advertising in newspapers and in telephone books, Respondent Chen and Respondent All In 1 offered and sold to at least five (5) Illinois Investors and others, notes in All In 1 The amounts Respondents sold to Investors ranged from five-thousand dollars (\$5,000 00) to twenty-thousand dollars (\$20,000.00) apiece, and were to be invested into what was called a "Preferred 10% Customer Account" ("Notes")
 - 7. Respondents represented to Investors that the Notes were "Safe and Sound, High Return, Convenient, Liquidible"; and
 - a would pay a 10% guaranteed return, per annum;
 - b that the investments were "safe," backed by, "full credit and total assets of the company and other investments,"
 - c. that the "company maintains adequate insurance and other securities for all accounts", and
 - d that there existed a "guaranteed return of principal and 10% pro-rated income for early call back of the whole account"
- 8 Respondents' Notes were not registered for sale in the State of Illinois.
- 9. Respondents printed and mailed "Guarantee Certificates" ("Certificates") to investors that purchased Respondents' Notes. These Certificates state the amount invested and reiterated Respondents' terms and guarantees of the investment as quoted above
- 10 In fact, Respondent Chen never maintained adequate assets in Respondent All In 1 nor did he acquire insurance or "securities" (sic) to cover liabilities on the Notes Respondents sold.
- 11 Instead, Respondent Chen had orchestrated a scheme whereby he used the proceeds of Notes sales to pay off earlier Investors in his other schemes Respondent Chen also used the Investors' money for his own personal use and benefit.
- 12. For instance, Respondent Chen. in a recorded interview ("Interview") taken by an Investor's private counsel on April 12, 2005, admitted. 1) that he used the money he received for the Notes to pay Investors of Respondent Alexander, 2) that he had spent Investors' money for personal use, and 3) to

Temporary Order of Prohibition

-4-

knowing that this fraudulent practice was not "right" and constituted securities fraud

- 13 The activities set forth in the paragraphs above, evidence the offer and sale of securities as those terms are defined in Sections 2.1, 2.5, and 2.5a of the Illinois Securities Law of 1953, 815 ILCS 5/1 et seq
- 14 Section 12 D of the Act states that it shall be a violation of the provisions of this Act for any person to, "fail to file with the Secretary of State any application, report or document required to be filed under the provisions of this Act or any rule or regulation made by the Secretary of State or pursuant to this Act...." 815 ILCS 5/12.D
- 15. Section 12.F of The Act states that it shall be a violation of the provisions of this Act for any person to, "engage in any transaction, practice or course of business in connection with the sale or purchase of securities which works or tends to work a fraud or deceit upon the purchaser or seller thereof." 815 ILCS 5/12 F
- 16 Section 12 G of the Act states that it shall be a violation of the provisions of this Act for any person to, "obtain money or property through the sale of securities by means of any untrue statement of a material fact or any omission to state a material fact necessary in order to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which they were made, not misleading "815 ILCS 5/12 G
- 17. Section 12.H of the Act states that it shall be a violation of the provisions o this Act for any person to, "sign or circulate any statement, prospectus, or other paper or document required by any provision of this Act or pertaining to any security knowing or having reasonable grounds to know any material representation therein to be false or untrue." 815 ILCS 5/12.H.
- 18. Section 12 I of the Act states that it shall be a violation of the provisions of this Act for any person to, "employ any device, scheme, or artifice to defraud in connection with the sale or purchase of any security, directly or indirectly. 815 ILCS 5/12.I
- 19 The activities described above constitute violations of Sections 12 D, 12 F, 12 G, 12 H, and 12 I of the act

Count II Respondents' Fraudulent Investment Scheme in Alexander Financial Services

- 1-5. The Department re-alleges and incorporates Paragraphs 1 through 5 as Paragraphs 1 through 5 of this Count II
- Between 1996 and 2004 Respondent Chen and Respondent Alexander sold to at least ten (10) Illinois Investors and others "Certificates of Deposit" in Respondent Alexander, acquiring over three-million dollars (\$3,000,000 00) from the Investors. Respondents' investment scheme was called the "Preferred Rate Deposit program" ("CDs")
- Respondents represented to investors that the CDs would guarantee an annual rate of 5 98%, and that Respondent Alexander was registered with the State of Illinois and FDIC as a Deposit Broker, in compliance with FDIC regulations.
- 8. Respondents printed and delivered to Investors certificates documenting their investments into the CDs ("Certificates") Each Certificate states the amount of money the Investor gave to Respondents, and each also states the above referenced terms as well as the assurances that the investments were registered with Illinois and that the investment was in compliance with FDIC regulations
- Respondents led Investors to believe they were purchasing certificates of deposit from a financial institution that had the approval of State and Federal regulatory agencies, and that the investments were protected by FDIC insurance.
- 10. However, the CDs were not registered with the State of Illinois, were not in compliance with any State or Federal regulation, were never insured by the FDIC, and, most importantly, Investors' money was not invested by or through Respondent Alexander Instead, Respondents had orchestrated a scheme to defraud investors residing throughout the United States by using Investors' money to pay off earlier Investors, and for his own personal use and benefit
- 11. For instance, Respondent Chen, in a recorded interview ("Interview") taken by an Investor's private counsel on April 12, 2005, admitted 1) That he used the money he received for the CDs to pay earlier Investors in Respondent Alexander, 2) that he had spent Investors' money for personal use, and 3) to knowing that this fraudulent practice was not "right" and constituted securities fraud.

- 12 The activities set forth in the paragraphs above, evidence the offer and sale of securities as those terms are defined in Sections 2.1, 2.5, and 2.5a of the Illinois Securities Law of 1953, 815 ILCS 5/1 et seq
- 13. Section 12 D of the Act states that it shall be a violation of the provisions of this Act for any person to, "fail to file with the Secretary of State any application, report or document required to be filed under the provisions of this Act or any rule or regulation made by the Secretary of State or pursuant to this Act ... "815 ILCS 5/12 D.
- 14 Section 12 F of The Act states that it shall be a violation of the provisions of this Act for any person to, "engage in any transaction, practice or course of business in connection with the sale or purchase of securities which works or tends to work a fraud or deceit upon the purchaser or seller thereof." 815 ILCS 5/12 F
- 15 Section 12.G of the Act states that it shall be a violation of the provisions of this Act for any person to, "obtain money or property through the sale of securities by means of any untrue statement of a material fact or any omission to state a material fact necessary in order to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which they were made, not misleading "815 ILCS 5/12.G.
- 16. Section 12.H of the Act states that it shall be a violation of the provisions o this Act for any person to, "sign or circulate any statement, prospectus, or other paper or document required by any provision of this Act or pertaining to any security knowing or having reasonable grounds to know any material representation therein to be false or untrue" 815 ILCS 5/12 H
- 17 Section 12 I of the Act states that it shall be a violation of the provisions of this Act for any person to, "employ any device, scheme, or artifice to defraud in connection with the sale or purchase of any security, directly or indirectly. 815 ILCS 5/12 I
- 18 The activities described above constitute violations of Sections 12.D, 12 F, 12 G, 12.H, and 12.I of the act.

Count III Respondents' Fraudulent Sale of Viaticals

- 1-5. The Department re-alleges and incorporates Paragraphs 1 through 5 as Paragraphs 1 through 5 of this Count III.
 - 6 Between 1996 and 2005 Respondent Chen and Respondent ATM offered and sold a "Viatical Settlement Program" ("Viaticals") by advertising in newspapers and other periodicals read throughout the United States.
 - Viaticals are an investment contract whereby an investor purchases the right to be a beneficiary of all or a portion of the proceeds of a life insurance contract upon the death of the insured Investment contracts constitute securities in the State of Illinois
- 8. Respondent ATM is not, and never was, registered to sell securities in the State of Illinois
- 9. Respondents represented the Viaticals sold in his Program;
 - a were 'Safe and Sound. High Return, Convenient, Liquidible',
 - b. were "backed by insurance policies" and also insured by the "State Insurance Guarantee Fund" for up to three-hundred thousand dollars (\$300,000.00), and
 - c. would provide from 6 to 60% returns (sic), depending on the number of years invested.
- 10 In fact, Respondents' Viaticals were illusory: Respondents did not obtain any interest in insurance policies for investors to purchase; Respondents did not place investors' money into any insurance policies or trusts accounts made of insurance policies, Respondents did not secure for investors any beneficiary interest in insurance policies; and, Respondents' Viaticals were not insured by any State Guarantee Fund nor were they ever insured by the State of Illinois
- 11. Respondents printed and delivered to investors certificates for the purported Viaticals ("Certificates"), that identify ATM as the issuer of the Viaticals, that reiterate Respondents' claims of "Safe and Sound, High Return, Convenient, Liquidible," and are signed by Respondent Chen.
- 12 Respondents never intended to invest Investors' money into securities of any kind Rather, Respondents had orchestrated a Scheme to defraud investors residing throughout the United States For instance, Respondent used money that he had received from new Investors to pay-off earlier investors of his Viaticals and other investment schemes, the latter having only been paid when they complained to Respondent about not receiving their promised returns.

- 13. Since 1996 through the present Respondents sold the Viaticals to more than forty (40) Investors.
- 14. The activities set forth in the paragraphs above, in particular, Paragraph 7, evidence the offer and sale of securities as those terms are defined in Sections 2.1, 2.5, and 2.5a of the Illinois Securities Law of 1953, 815 ILCS 5/1 et seq.
- 15 Section 12.D of the Act states that it shall be a violation of the provisions of this Act for any person to, "fail to file with the Secretary of State any application, report or document required to be filed under the provisions of this Act or any rule or regulation made by the Secretary of State or pursuant to this Act..." 815 ILCS 5/12 D
- 16 Section 12.F of The Act states that it shall be a violation of the provisions of this Act for any person to, "engage in any transaction, practice or course of business in connection with the sale or purchase of securities which works or tends to work a fraud or deceit upon the purchaser or seller thereof" 815 ILCS 5/12 F
- 17 Section 12 G of the Act states that it shall be a violation of the provisions of this Act for any person to, "obtain money or property through the sale of securities by means of any untrue statement of a material fact or any omission to state a material fact necessary in order to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which they were made, not misleading "815 ILCS 5/12 G
- 18 Section 12.H of the Act states that it shall be a violation of the provisions o this Act for any person to, "sign or circulate any statement, prospectus, or other paper or document required by any provision of this Act or pertaining to any security knowing or having reasonable grounds to know any material representation therein to be false or untrue." 815 ILCS 5/12 H
- 19. Section 12 I of the Act states that it shall be a violation of the provisions of this Act for any person to, "employ any device, scheme, or artifice to defraud in connection with the sale or purchase of any security, directly or indirectly. 815 ILCS 5/12 I.
- 20 The activities described above constitute violations of Sections 12.D, 12.F, 12.G, 12.H, and 12 I of the act

Count IV Respondent's Fraudulent Sale of Tax Lien Interests

- 1-5 The Department re-alleges and incorporates Paragraphs 1 through 5 as Paragraphs 1 through 5 of this Count IV.
 - 6. In 2004 Respondent Chen and Respondent Alexander sold to at least one Investor an interest in Tax Liens.
 - 7 Tax Liens are an investment contract typically a private person or entity (a "promoter") has paid the appropriate public entity taxes due in exchange for a lien on the property; the promoter then organizes several such liens into a "pool" and sells interests in the pooled liens to investors while retaining an obligation to manage and enforce the liens, the property owner either pays the promoter to satisfy the lien, or after a specified period of time the promoter enforces the lien. Under the investment contract the promoter is obligated to distribute any proceeds of the liens to the investors. Tax Liens constitute an investment contract, and thus securities, under the Act.
- 8 Respondents represented to the Investor that the investment in Tax Liens;
 - a was "Safe and Sound, High Return, Convenient, Liquidible",
 - b the "Principal and interest is backed by full credit and total assets of the TLC Account and/or other investments";
 - c. would be "issued by local governments and will be paid directly by government", and
 - d an "8% guaranteed return"
- 9 Respondents printed and delivered to Investor a "Tax Lien Certificate" that reiterated the above-mentioned terms and guarantees
- 10 Respondents never registered Tax Liens with the State of Illinois
- 11 Respondents never placed Investor's money into any interest in a tax lien, or pool of tax liens, nor did Respondents ever establish any tax lien trust account for Investors in Tax Liens.
- 12. Instead, Respondent Chen used Investor's money to pay his personal debt to other individuals and to credit card companies.
- 13 The activities set forth in the paragraphs above, evidence the offer and sale of securities as those terms are defined in Sections 2.1, 2.5, and 2.5a of the Illinois Securities Law of 1953, 815 ILCS 5/1 et seq.

Temporary Order of Prohibition

-10-

- 14. Section 12.D of the Act states that it shall be a violation of the provisions of this Act for any person to, "fail to file with the Secretary of State any application, report or document required to be filed under the provisions of this Act or any rule or regulation made by the Secretary of State or pursuant to this Act..." 815 ILCS 5/12.D
- 15 Section 12.F of The Act states that it shall be a violation of the provisions of this Act for any person to, "engage in any transaction, practice or course of business in connection with the sale or purchase of securities which works or tends to work a fraud or deceit upon the purchaser or seller thereof." 815 ILCS 5/12 F
- 16 Section 12.G of the Act states that it shall be a violation of the provisions of this Act for any person to, "obtain money or property through the sale of securities by means of any untrue statement of a material fact or any omission to state a material fact necessary in order to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which they were made, not misleading." 815 ILCS 5/12 G
- 17 Section 12.H of the Act states that it shall be a violation of the provisions o this Act for any person to, "sign or circulate any statement, prospectus, or other paper or document required by any provision of this Act or pertaining to any security knowing or having reasonable grounds to know any material representation therein to be false or untrue" 815 ILCS 5/12 H.
- 18 Section 12 I of the Act states that it shall be a violation of the provisions of this Act for any person to, "employ any device, scheme, or artifice to defraud in connection with the sale or purchase of any security, directly or indirectly 815 ILCS 5/12 I
- 19. The activities described above constitute violations of Sections 12 D, 12 F, 12 G, 12 H, and 12 I of the act.

Grounds for a Temporary Order of Prohibition

- 20 That Section 11.F (2) of the Act provides, *inter alia*, that the Secretary of State may temporarily prohibit the offer or sale of securities by any person, without notice and prior hearing, if the Secretary of State shall deem it necessary to prevent an imminent violation of the Act or to prevent losses to investors that will occur as a result of prior violations of the Act
- 21. On October 10, 2005, the Lake County State's Attorney charged Respondent for theft
- 22 Respondent posted a one million dollar bond (\$1,000,000 00), however, Respondent subsequently refused to appear before the Lake County Circuit Court, causing a bench warrant to be issued against Respondent
- 23. Subsequent to Respondent's refusal to appear before the Lake County Circuit Court, Respondent has continued misrepresent the nature of his investments to Investors by e-mail, telling them that their investments are safe but that they will not get their money if they speak to law enforcement
- 24. That the entry of this Temporary Order prohibiting Respondents Qi Chen a/k/a Alex Chen a/k/a Alex Qi Chen, Alexander Financial Services, Inc., ATM Investors. Inc., and All In 1 Insurance Agency from offering or selling securities in the State of Illinois is in the public interest and for the protection of the investing public and is consistent with the purposes intended by the provisions of the Act.

PROHIBITION

NOW THEREFORE IT IS HEREBY ORDERED THAT, pursuant to the granted by Section 11 F of the Act.

Respondents Qi Chen a/k/a Alex Chen a/k/a Alex Qi Chen, Alexander Financial Services, Inc., ATM Investors, Inc., and All In 1 Insurance Agency are **PROHIBITED** from offering or selling securities in or from this State until the further Order of the Secretary of State

NOTICE is hereby given that Respondents may request a hearing on this matter by transmitting such request in writing to the Director, Illinois Securities Department, 69 W. Washington Street, Suite 1220, Chicago, Illinois 60602. Such request must be made within thirty (30) calendar days of the date of entry the Temporary Order of Prohibition. Upon receipt of a request for hearing, a hearing will be scheduled as soon as reasonably

Temporary Order of Prohibition

-12-

practicable A request for hearing will not stop the effectiveness of this Temporary Order and will extend the effectiveness of this Temporary Order for sixty days from the date the hearing request is received by the Department

FAILURE BY ANY RESPONDENT TO REQUEST A HEARING WITHIN THIRTY (30) CALENDAR DAYS AFTER ENTRY OF THIS TEMPORARY ORDER OF PROHIBITION SHALL CONSTITUTE AN ADMISSION OF ANY FACTS ALLEGED HEREIN AND SHALL CONSTITUTE SUFFICIENT BASIS TO MAKE THIS TEMPORARY ORDER OF PROHIBITION FINAL

Dated This 1st day of March, 2006.

JESSE WHITE
Secretary of State
State of Illinois

Attorney for the Secretary of State.

Jason Chronopoulos

Office of the Secretary of State

Illinois Securities Department

69 W Washington Street, Suite 1220

Chicago, Illinois 60602

Telephone. (312) 793-9643